

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

364a

CWP-494-2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2017

Dr. Nitya Nand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sudershan Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that due to lapse of time and the fact that there is no interim order hence, petition do not survive for consideration. Matter relates to selection and appointment to the post of Professor, pursuant to the advertisement wherein the petitioner's has questioned the validity of advertisement. For non-granting of interim relief the advertisement which are under challenge has spent its force. Therefore, petition has become infructuous.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

16.03.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No