

CRM-M-14679-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14679-2017

Date of Decision: 28.04.2017

Manjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Manjeet Singh has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. against respondents-State of Punjab and others for issuance of direction to the official respondent Nos.2 to 3 to protect his life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 8 by providing police protection to him and not to harass him illegally at the behest of respondent Nos.4 to 8 and other relatives.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

Perusal of the record shows that respondent No.4-Ruby is wife of petitioner, respondent No.5-Shakuntala is his mother-in-law, respondent No.6-Asha Ram is his father-in-law, respondent Nos.7 and 8, Raju and Sanju are his brothers-in-law and respondent No.9 is Harjinder

CRM-M-14679-2017

Singh @ Pappa. Perusal of the record further shows that respondent Nos.4 to 8 are the residents of District Muradabad (UP). Alongwith this petition, only one representation dated 12.04.2017 (Annexure P-1), given to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and copy of civil suit, filed by the petitioner against his wife, father-in-law, brother-in-law and one Harjinder Singh @ Papa, for recovery of amount of damages on account of loss of reputation etc., have been filed. Perusal of the representation (Annexure P-1) shows that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties. Annexure P-2 shows that the petitioner has filed a civil suit. However, no injuries ever caused to the present petitioner. The private respondents Nos.4 to 8 are residing in Uttar Pradesh. The representation (Annexure P-1) shows that on 12.04.2017, at about 12.00 P.M, respondent No.4-Ruby abused the petitioner and threatened him that she will get him killed or she will break his other leg also. There is no allegation in the representation against the other private respondents regarding even giving any threat etc. to the petitioner. It has been brought into notice of this Court that the civil court where the suit is pending, is also not informed qua the incident of giving any abuse and threat to the petitioner by Ruby. It looks that the present petition has been filed by the petitioner just to harass the private respondents. No threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner has been shown and it is nothing, but this petition has been filed without any basis.

CRM-M-14679-2017

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

28.04.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No