

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14644 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 28.04.2017**

**HARJAP SINGH**

**.....Petitioner**

**vs.**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Talwinder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner and respondent No.6 are real the brothers. Father of the petitioner distributed the land equally between them by way of transfer deed in the year 2004. Respondent No.6 was the resident of America and now he has come to India. Due to the interference created by respondent No.6, a suit for permanent injunction was filed by the petitioner in which interim order was granted in his favour.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner filed complaint before the Incharge, Police Post Lalton Kalan, however the same did not yield any result and consequently,

representations have been filed before respondent No.2 which are still pending.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the pleadings contained in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to take into consideration the pending representations moved by the petitioner and take appropriate action in accordance with law, without being swayed away by any consideration in terms of pleadings or anything recorded in preceding part of the order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 28, 2017

*Atik*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No