

Sr. No.221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M- 14561 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:03.05.2017

Ankit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Satinder Kumar Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in
FIR No.182 dated 30.06.2016 under Sections 148, 149, 342, 302, 506
of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kunjpura,
District Karnal.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Sachin
Kumar. Deceased is Amit S/o Jagdish and cousin of the
complainant, who is stated to have been attacked and inflicted
knife and danda blows on 29.06.2016.

As per prosecution version, wife of the deceased
namely Pinki, was in relationship with main accused Jony Pandit.
It is the case of prosecution that Jony Pandit and his father
Rameshwar Pandit as also certain other accomplices have killed
Amit.

Name of the present petitioner does not even figure in the FIR.

It is a case of hearsay evidence.

Present petitioner is sought to be implicated on the disclosure statement of main accused Jony Pandit and even as per which, the petitioner allegedly inflicted danda blows on the person of the deceased.

Petitioner has faced incarceration since 11.07.2016.

Investigation is complete, challan has been presented and even charges have been framed.

The trial as such would take time to conclude.

Without making any observation on merits and keeping in view the length of incarceration already suffered by the petitioner, he is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

Disposed of.

03.05.2017

anju rani

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*