

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.14558 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

HARI SINGH & ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 27.04.2017, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners intends to withdraw prayer for anticipatory bail qua petitioner No.1. So far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, he was shown with a dang, but no injury has been attributed to him.

Notice of motion qua petitioner No.2 for 29.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner No.2 is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 10.05.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner No.2

shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order, petitioner No.2 has joined the investigation.

The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sushil Kumar. Learned counsel further stated that petitioner No.2 is no more required for further investigation.

In view of above, interim order dated 27.04.2017 *qua* petitioner No.2 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

May 30, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No