

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14552-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2017**

SARABJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. B.B.S. Sobti, Advocate for
Mr. Harinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has alleged incriminating allegations against the private respondents Nos.8, 9, & 11 and the Investigating Agency.

Grievance of the petitioner is that there will not be a fair and impartial investigation of the case bearing FIR No.13 dated 14.03.2017 registered under Sections 302, 34 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Bhaini Mia Khan, Gurdaspur.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner has also moved a representation before respondent No.2 on 10.04.2017 and the said representation has not been decided so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 7. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the pleadings contained in the petition and investigation undertaken till date, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner which has been espoused before him in the form of representation and take appropriate action in accordance with law, without being swayed away by any consideration in terms of pleadings or anything recorded in the preceding part of the order.

Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to adopt his own mechanism to ascertain the real facts. In case, the allegations of the petitioner are found to be having some worth, then appropriate steps be taken in protecting the life and property of the petitioner. It would be appreciated, in case the representation is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 27, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No