

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14551 of 2017
Date of Decision: 05.05.2017**

Gurmeet Singh Namberdar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.20, dated 23.03.2017, registered under Sections 193, 181, 465, 468, 471, 120-B, 420 and 511 IPC, at Police Station Bhikhi, District Mansa.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 23.03.2017; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; the main accused Gurmail Singh had already granted ad-interim anticipatory bail by this Court; no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner and that at the time when the petitioner allegedly attested the documents to the effect that Tej Kaur was alive, there was nothing on record declaring her dead.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh, admits that the main accused Gurmail Singh has granted ad-interim anticipatory bail by this Court; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved and that no recovery is to be made from the petitioner.

After considering the submissions that the petitioner has been in custody since 23.03.2017; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; the main accused Gurmail Singh had already granted ad-interim anticipatory bail by this Court; no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner and that at the time when the petitioner allegedly attested the documents to the effect that Tej Kaur was alive, there was nothing on record declaring her dead, I am of the opinion that the petitioner deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail granted to him, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the Competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioner through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 05, 2017

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No