

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

305

CWP-3673-1999(O&M)

Date of decision:17.07.2017

The State of Haryana

....Petitioner

Versus

Lal Chand and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. J.S. Bedi, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, petitioner has assailed award passed by the Labour Court dated 26.05.1998 only to the extent of back wages of 25%. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently argued that respondent-workman has not furnished any material to show that whether he was gainfully employed or not. Whereas, Labour court has drawn inference that the respondent-workman must have worked elsewhere and earned to maintain himself and his family. It is quite natural that if an employee is ousted from job he has to work for his livelihood and so also maintaining the members of the family. Therefore, awarding 25% back wages is reasonable. No interference is called for. Accordingly, CWP stands dismissed

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

17.07.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No