

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:12.5.2017

Maninder Kaur @ Rosy

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mrs.J.J.Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.AG, Punjab
Mr.S.S.Behl, Advocate,
for the complainant
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced in Court today, along with an application which is still to be filed, certain documents from an investigation previously conducted by the Economic Offences Wing. However, that actually amounts to arguing the matter on merits again, despite the fact that the petitioners' earlier petition seeking anticipatory bail has already been dismissed vide an order dated 20.2.2017, passed in CRM No.M-1489 of 2017. Her husbands' application, who is a co-accused, was also dismissed vide an order dated 8.9.2016, passed in CRM No.M-31551 of 2016.

The petitioner had been granted interim bail by this Court on medical grounds and on the last date of hearing, an OPD card of the PGI, Chandigarh, had been placed on record advising her some medicines, including 'Ferrous'. The card also stated that she would follow up with

reports.

Learned counsel for the petitioner had been directed to place on record a certificate from the doctor concerned in the PGI, Chandigarh, as to her actual medical condition, which has not been done.

Mrs.J.J.Kaur has tried to submit that the doctor cannot give any opinion as regards the petitioners' actual medical condition in the absence of test reports in respect of tests that have been conducted.

At this stage, the medical condition of the petitioner is not seen to be such by which she is required to be confined to bed or hospital. Consequently, this petition is dismissed. However, in case her medical condition is proven to be such, duly supported by a medical certificate, by which she requires the concession of anticipatory bail, liberty to file another petition, with proof of such medical condition, would be available to her.

It is made clear that there is no interim order operating in favour of the petitioner.

12.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No