

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-14491 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2017**

Laxmi and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Ranvijay Rana, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners have filed this petition seeking protection of their life and liberty alleging that petitioner No.1-Laxmi, who is major, has married petitioner No.2-Sahil, who is below 21 years of age, against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6, who are parents and relative of petitioner No. 1. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, petitioner No.1 moved representation dated 21.04.2017 (Annexure P-5) to Superintendent of Police, Sirsa. He confines the relief claimed in this petition for direction to respondent No. 2 to look into the representation of petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the validity of marriage of petitioners, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to look into representation dated 21.04.2017 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of this

order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted or intended against them under any law including the provisions of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

April 27, 2017.
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No