

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

275

CRL. MISC. No.M-1447 OF 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 7th FEBRUARY, 2017

Dr. Rishi Thakral @ Rishi Thakral

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in criminal complaint No.901 dated 13.10.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Hisar.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

According to the petitioner his wife expired due to negligence of surgeon i.e. complainant Doctor. There is a reason to believe that this FIR is result of enmity which arise out of the complaint filed before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission.

In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The petition is allowed.
- (ii) In case of arrest, the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the trial Judge.

- (iii) The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court till completion of the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witness(es).
- (v) In case of violation of the above condition the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

7th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.