

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.14416 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.04.2017

VINOD KUMAR

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2, 3 and 4 in the context of protecting his life and personal liberty from being invaded at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Petitioner claims himself to be an exclusive owner in possession of the house. Earlier, respondent No.4 filed a suit for permanent injunction against the petitioner and his wife and the same was dismissed for non-prosecution. Thereafter, a compromise was effected between the parties and the property came to the share of the petitioner. The private respondents No.4 to 7 did not obey the spirit of compromise. As a result of

that, petitioner filed civil suit for permanent injunction in which respondents No.4 to 7 were restrained from interfering in the peaceful possession of the petitioner. Thereafter, respondent No.4 filed number of complaints before the police in which violation of civil rights of the petitioner has been alleged by the petitioner and the petitioner filed representation dated 31.03.2014 before respondent No.2 but no action has been taken so far.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if his pending representation dated 31.03.2014 before respondent No.2 is decided by way of passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Additional A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent

No.2 to have a fair look on the pending representation dated 31.03.2014 of the petitioner and decide the same by way of passing appropriate order in accordance with law.

27.04.2017
Jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No