

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14414-2017

Date of decision: 19.09.2017

Sushila Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate
for the complainant-respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.538 dated 03.10.2015, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Rohtak Civil Lines, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, as well as seeking quashing of the order dated 27.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) by which she was declared as a proclaimed person in the proceedings that had been initiated in the aforesaid FIR.

On 28.04.2017, while issuing notice of motion in the case, this Court was pleased to pass the following order :-

“Learned counsel, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is undergoing treatment at USA where she is staying along with her daughter. Moreover, vide order dated 27.02.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed the learned trial Court, she has been declared as proclaimed person, without any cogent evidence.

Notice of motion for 31.05.2017.

In case the petitioner comes to India within a period of four weeks from today, she shall not be arrested by the police. Further, she is directed to appear before the trial Court and submit her bail bonds and the trial Court is directed to accept the same.”

Pursuant to the order dated 28.04.2017, the petitioner appeared before the trial Court on 15.05.2017 and tendered her bail/surety bonds. On a joint request, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for amicable settlement. Vide order dated 11.09.2017, this case was connected with CRM-M-33449-2017. In the said case, in terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties, the FIR in question and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, have been quashed. Consequently, order dated 27.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) by which she was declared as a proclaimed person in the proceedings that had been initiated in the aforesaid FIR, has also been quashed.

In view of the above, this petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

19.09.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No