

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1440-2017

Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Geeta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Kartar Singh Malik-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 31.01.2017, learned counsel for the State has filed the affidavit of the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Rohtak, in Court today, a copy of which has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the said affidavit, the petitioner has 4 children aged between 16 years to 10 years, the eldest being a 16 year old daughter. They are all staying with their father in their own house. The son of the petitioner is aged 14 years, another daughter is aged 12 years and the youngest daughter is aged 10 years.

Learned State counsel further submits on instructions that the petitioner was identified in the theft by the CCTV footage and she has been involved in many other cases.

Without making any comment on the contention raised on

either side with regard to the actual crime, however, in view of the fact that a 16 year daughter and a 14 year old son are there to look after the younger children along with the husband of the petitioner, I do not consider it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

However, the learned trial Court is directed to ensure that with the petitioner having 4 minor children at home, the trial is expedited on priority and is concluded within a period of 6 months.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No