

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14399-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.5.2017**

Naina and another

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: - Mr. Pulkit Dagar, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana

....

Pursuant to notice issued on 27.4.2017, the reply of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, City Ballabagarh, has been filed by the learned State counsel in Court today, stating to the effect that in fact the statements of respondents no. 4 and 5 have been recorded by ASI Harkishan of Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad, translated copies of which have been annexed as Annexures R-2 and R-3 with the petition.

A reading thereof shows that the said respondents have each stated that there is no proof of age of petitioner No. 1 but she is the second child of respondent no. 5 and had left home on her own and that they have no objection to her marriage with petitioner no. 2.

Consequently, without making any comment on the validity of the marriage, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to continue to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners duly protected, with the four corners of law.

18.05.2017
Preeti

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>yes/no</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>yes/no</i>