

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.14379 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.04.2017

MAKHAN RAM

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that initially, the petitioner was involved in some case under NDPS Act. He was tried and convicted by the competent Court. Appeal is pending in which suspension of sentence has been granted. There is no other case registered against the petitioner, but respondents No.5 and 6 are instrumental in harassing the petitioner by way of conducting repeated raids at his house.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner feels reasonable apprehension in respect of his life and property likely to be invaded by the private respondents without there being any fresh case against him.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman,

Additional A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

27.04.2017
Jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No