

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

Anuradha
2017.06.15:36
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

204

CWP-5933-1996

Decided on : 1.9.2017

VIJAY SINGH

....PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 22.3.2017, the following order was passed by a coordinate Bench:-

"On the last date following order was passed :-

"As per office report, notices to respondents could not be issued due to non-filing of process fee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to serve respondents by way of dasti process.

Let respondent be served by way of dasti process for 22.03.2017."

However, as per office report dasti notices were not collected. Today again learned counsel for the petitioner seeks one more opportunity to serve them. Though there is no justification for granting more time but on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to grant one more opportunity to serve the respondents by way of dasti process subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/- to be deposited in Mediation and Reconciliation Centre of this Court. Ordered accordingly.

Adjourned to 08.05.2017."

Thereafter, with the costs not having been paid and therefore dasti notices not having been prepared, the following order was passed on 8.5.2017:-

"As per office report, costs were not paid and, therefore, dasti notices were also not prepared. Today again, there is a request for adjournment. Though there is no justification for granting more time but on the insistence, I deem it appropriate to grant one more last opportunity to the learned counsel subject to further payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be paid by way of draft in favour of the Mediation and Reconciliation Centre of this Court. Ordered accordingly.

Let fresh dasti notice be issued for 01.09.2017."

Today, as per the report of Registry, again costs have not been deposited, due to which obviously notices were not issued to the respondents.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

September 1, 2017
sunil/anuradha

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No