

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14301 of 2017
Date of Decision: 05.05.2017**

Surta Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.97, dated 27.06.2015, registered under Sections 325, 324, 323, 148, 149 and 308 IPC, at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 26.03.2017; all other co-accused of the petitioner have been granted anticipatory bail/regular bail; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; there is a cross version in which the complainants are also alleged of having inflicted injuries; the attribution to the petitioner is of having inflicted a simple injury and that too on a non-vital part.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Shamsher Singh, admits that all other co-accused are on bail and that the petitioner is attributed a simple injury on a non-vital part. It is further admitted that the petitioner is not involved in any criminal case.

Since the petitioner has been in custody since 26.03.2017; all other co-accused of the petitioner have been granted anticipatory bail/regular bail; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; there is a cross version in which the complainants are also alleged of having inflicted injuries; the attribution to the petitioner is of having inflicted a simple injury and that too on a non-vital part, I am of the opinion that the petitioner deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail granted to him, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the Competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioner through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 05, 2017

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No