

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 14289 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.05.2017

Sonia and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vajir Singh, states that the private respondents have made a statement that they would have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other, though respondent No. 5 is stated to have died.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

May 17, 2017

reena

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/no.

Whether reportable: Yes/no.