

CRM-M-14244-2017

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr.No.280)

CRM-M-14244-2017

Date of Decision:-May 17, 2017

Ravinder Pal Singh @ Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant has been
filed today in Court and the same is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.251 dated 22.12.2009 registered under Sections
307/450/447/511 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short the 'IPC')
read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at
Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar the petitioner is being
prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned
counsel for respondent No.2-complainant state that the compromise has
been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial

Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated April 26, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Jalandhar showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.251 dated 22.12.2009 registered under Sections 307/450/447/511 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short the 'IPC') read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 17, 2017

pankaj

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No