

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14238 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision:01.06.2017**

Inderjit Singh and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Gitish Bharwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. P.S. Ghuman, Addl, AG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipul Dharmani, Advocate
for parents of petitioner No.2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

On 10.05.2017, following order was passed:-

“On 26.04.2017, following order was passed:-

“Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.1 to 3 in the context of protecting their lives and personal liberties likely to be invaded by the private respondents.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. At this stage, Mr. Vipul Dharmani, Advocate and Mr. G.P. Siingh, Advocate appeared on behalf of the parents of petitioner No.2 and submitted that petitioner No.2 is a USA citizen and has solemnized marriage while in India with petitioner No.1. Learned counsel further submitted that the parents of the girl (petitioner No.2) would be reaching

India tomorrow i.e. 27.04.2017.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the validity of the marriage or otherwise and age of petitioner No.2, it would be appropriate to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to have a fair look on the representation dated 22.04.2017 filed by the petitioners in the context of protecting their lives and personal liberties. In case, grievance of the petitioners is found to be real, then necessary action for protecting their lives and personal liberties be taken. In case, the parents of petitioner No.2 want to meet petitioner No.2, then a congenial atmosphere be provided by respondent No.3 in the Police Station, Nangal for the interaction of the parties for a reasonable period. Respondent No.3 would make every endeavour not to allow the parties to take law in their hands.

Let a report in this regard be submitted to this Court on 10.05.2017.

Parties be present in the Court on the adjourned date.”

Today during course of proceedings, petitioner No.1 has agreed to execute fixed deposit in a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- in the name of petitioner No.2 within fortnight.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mahender Pal submits that in the light of observations made on the last date, the police has recorded the statements of the petitioners wherein they have not shown any apprehension from anyone.

Further proceedings are deferred to 26.05.2017.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has brought FDR No.0194818 in a sum of Rs.10 lacs in the name of petitioner No.2. A photocopy of the same has been retained on record. FDR shall not be encashed within the period of validity.

On the last date, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mahender Pal submitted that the police has recorded the statements of the petitioners, wherein they have not shown any apprehension from anyone.

In view of aforesaid facts, necessary directions can still be made to respondents No.2 and 3 to keep on visualize the situation in the context of any threat perception to the petitioners and act in accordance with law.

A copy of this order be sent to Indian Bank, Morinda for necessary compliance in the context of aforesaid FDR.

In view of above, there is no requirement of impleading any person as party respondent.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

01.06.2017
prince

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No