

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1421-2017

Date of decision: 28.03.2017

Reena

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.V.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurpal Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.179 dated 15.07.2016, registered under Sections 370 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Division-7, District Jalandhar.

It is contended that the petitioner was arrested from her house without any evidence and now the alleged allegation is that petitioner along with one Kuldeep Kaur and Jyoti purchased a child and sold him to one Manpreet Kaur, who further sold the child to one Bittu. It is further contended that in fact the story made up by the prosecution is totally baseless and there is no connectivity of the petitioner with the other co-accused. The petitioner is in custody in this case since 17.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application made by the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is in habit of dealing in trafficking of children/infants. A sum of Rs.40,000/- was also

recovered from her.

Heard.

This is the second bail application filed by the accused. There are serious allegations of selling the child against the petitioner. Keeping in view the seriousness and gravity of offence, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

However, considering the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2016, trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within four months. The prosecution is directed not to seek unnecessary adjournments and examine their witnesses. Keeping in view the nature of issue involved, the parties are directed to cooperate in the matter for early conclusion of the trial.

Anything said hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 28, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No