

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-15084 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 1st MARCH, 2017

Sandeep alias Dheelu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Shumman, Advocate for respondent
No.2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
counsel for the complainant – respondent No.2 and the State Counsel.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has pressed for grant of
bail since, according to him, the petitioner was declared innocent when
challan was filed by the police. According to him, there is no prima facie
case against the petitioner to disallow him the relief of bail.

As against this, learned counsel for the complainant has
vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail on the ground that the
petitioner is gangsters of the area and the complainant has been provided
police security by this Court. He, therefore, submits that the petitioner
should not be granted the concession of bail.

I have perused the FIR. Upon perusal of the order as well as the FIR and the role attributed to the present petitioner, I am satisfied that the petitioner be granted the relief of bail pending trial. However, care will have to be taken to protect the interest of the prosecution witnesses as well as the prosecution.

In that view of the matter, learned Counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that the petitioner shall not enter the limits of District Gurgaon till trial is completed. Learned Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner will not apply for modification of the order till the trial completed.

Consequently, the petitioner is enlarged on bail. He shall not enter District Gurgaon till the trial is completed and also shall not apply for modification of this condition till the trial is concluded. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek modification of restriction to enter any other place except the Gurgaon City only after six months.

1st MARCH, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable:	Yes	No
---------------------	-----	----