

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-14101 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 01.05.2017.

Ekram and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.70 dated 18.07.2016 registered under Sections 3, 5, 6, 13, 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausavardhan Act, 2015 and 11 and 59 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 at Police Station Baragudha.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further contended that the petitioners are in custody since 19.07.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the challan stands already

CRM No. M-14101 of 2017

(2)

presented, the petitioners are in custody since 19.07.2016, out of 14 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely concluded that the conclusion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No