

260

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 14088 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.10.2017

Jaspreet Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. R.S. Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Senior D.A.G., Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 111, dated 11.06.2015, under Sections 324/307/506/427/34/295-A of the Indian Penal Code, and under Sections 3 and 4 of the S.C./S.T. Act, (Sections 307 and 295-A of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the S.C./S.T. Act deleted later on) (after completion of investigation, challan was submitted under Sections 324, 427, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code only), registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, vide report dated 17.07.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are not present nor they are represented by their counsel. However, they have made their statements on 01.06.2017, before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, whereby they have admitted the factum of compromise out of their free consent, sweet will and without any threat, pressure or undue influence.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another"**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another"**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 111, dated 11.06.2015, under Sections 324/307/506/427/34/295-A of the Indian Penal Code, and under Sections 3 and 4 of the S.C./S.T. Act,

(Sections 307 and 295-A of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the S.C./S.T. Act deleted later on) (after completion of investigation, challan was submitted under Sections 324, 427, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code only), registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

03.10.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No