

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. RSA No.2376 of 1993

Dalip Singh ...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana & Another ...Respondents

2. RSA No.2420 of 1993

Ram Singh ...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana & Another ...Respondents

3. RSA No.2593 of 1993

Anand Sarup Sharma ...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana & Another ...Respondents

Date of Decision:03.02.2017

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Amarjit Markan, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)**

This order will dispose of RSA No.2376 of 1993 titled as  
“*Dalip Singh v. State of Haryana & Another*”, RSA No.2420 of 1993 titled  
as “*Ram Singh v. State of Haryana & Another*” & RSA No.2593 of 1993  
titled as “*Anand Sarup Sharma v. State of Haryana & Another*” as common

issues are involved in these cases, it can be decided by a common order.

This matter is covered by the decision of the Supreme Court in State of Haryana vs. Amar Nath Bansal, (1997) AIR (SC) 718, where the Supreme Court has held that employees of the erstwhile Jind State were entitled to continue in service till they attain the age of 60 years and no further.

The Supreme Court in “Amar Nath Bansal” has held that the covenants entered into between erstwhile rulers of different States which formed PEPSU is not binding either on services of Punjab Government or Haryana due to the provisions of the State Reorganization Act, 1956 relating to the State of Punjab and, therefore, the Punjab Reorganization Act, 1966 shrinking the size of Punjab and carving out Haryana from it.

This appeal was filed prior to the pronouncement of judgment in 1997.

There is no merit in the appeals, the ground being covered by Amar Nath Bansal case and accordingly they must therefore fail.

Consequently, the appeals are dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

**03.02.2017**  
neeraj

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*