

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.1042 of 1992 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.10.2017**

Goswami Rajinder Lal and others ...Appellants

Versus

The Pepsu Transport Development Board and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr.G.S.Virk, Advocate for
Mr.G.S.Nagra, Advocate for respondent No.1.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

When the case came up for hearing on 2.5.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

“In view of the office report dated 29.04.2017, learned counsel for the appellants seeks time to take immediate appropriate steps, including to bring on record the legal representatives of respondents No.3 and 5.”

Learned counsel for the appellants, at the very outset, fairly states that he could not comply with the above-said order for want of appropriate instructions from the appellants. He further submits that it seems that nothing more is surviving in the instant appeal. He also fairly states that let the present appeal be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellants to get it revived, if necessity arises.

Ordered accordingly.

Appeal would stand disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty to the appellants to get the appeal revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

10.10.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No