

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13925-2017

Date of decision : 01.05.2017

Harjit Singh @ Penta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Narinder S.Lucky, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was never named in the FIR and only on a subsequent statement of a co-accused, he was named as one of the persons of the party that is stated to have attacked the complainant, with no specific injury attributed to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, admits to the aforesaid.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, upon his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017.

Davinder Kumar