

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP No.12843 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17.08.2017

Lakhwinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S.Sitta, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 14.07.2017 the following order was passed :-

“The Registry has reported that the name of the counsel Sh.G.S.Singhpuria, Advocate is not found in the bar directory. However I find that his particulars are mentioned in the Vakalatnama.

Let the Registry is directed to issue notice to him at the address mentioned in the Vakalatnama. In future also, in such like cases the Registry is directed to check not only the paper book to see if the particulars of the counsel are available but also the bar directory for the District Courts of Chandigarh, Mohali and Panchkula before sending the matter to the Bench.

Adjourned to 17.08.2017.”

Thereafter Registry has reported that a letter has been written to the learned counsel for the petitioner at his address and he has also been informed about the date fixed telephonically.

Even today none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed in default.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

17.08.2017
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No