

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM -M-13854 of 2017
Date of Decision: 4.5.2017

Amrik Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner

Rekha Mittal, J.

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 63 dated 3.3.2017 under Sections 452, 380, 354, 509, 436, 427, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "IPC") registered at Police Station, City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise on the basis whereof, CRM No. M- 12752 of 2017 for quashing of the FIR has been filed in which the parties have been directed to record their statements. It is further submitted that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and two co-accused in the case namely Balwinder Singh and Shingara Singh have been granted interim bail by this Court in CRM-M-10813 of 2017. The last

submission made by counsel is that the petitioner is ready to face proceedings, in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State would submit that the investigation is in progress.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

The petitioner has already been remanded to judicial custody, therefore, not required for the purpose of investigation. As per allegations raised in CRM-M- 12752 of 2017 seeking quashing of the proceedings, dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise. The presentation of challan and thereafter conclusion of trial is likely to take its own time.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to the petitioner subject to satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) He shall not offer any threat, promise or inducement to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the same to the Investigating Officer/Court; and
- (ii) He shall not leave the limits of this country without prior permission of the Court.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

4.5.2017

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No