

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13840-2017
Date of decision-25.04.2017**

**Mahavir Singh
Vs.
State of Punjab**

**...Petitioner

...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sachin Luthra, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.24 dated 08.03.2017 registered under Sections 452, 326, 323 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. The co-accused, namely, Sant Singh has already been admitted to interim bail by this Court vide order dated 24.04.2017.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

A bare perusal of the FIR reveals that the petitioner severed right ear of the complainant with a knife like sharp edged weapon and gave fist blows to him and his family members. The petitioner has been attributed a specific role.

Keeping in view the alleged participation, specific role and

nature of injuries caused by the petitioner, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out. This Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

April 25, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No