

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13829 of 2017

Date of decision: April 25, 2017

Prince

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG
Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Mr. P.S. Kanwar, Advocate appears and accepts notice on
behalf of respondent No.2.

Rule. Heard forthwith with the consent of learned counsel
for the rival parties.

In FIR No.12 dated 24.01.2016, under Sections 341, 323,
324, 325, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police
Station Division 1, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar City, the trial Court
granted anticipatory bail order with a further direction to surrender
before the trial Court within 7 working days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order
was not communicated to the petitioner by the Advocate and therefore,
he could not comply with the same.

In ordinary course, the petitioner ought to have approached the Sessions Court for extension of time to comply with the said order. However, I do not find any point in dilly-dallying and therefore, the time is extended by another one week from today and the petitioner shall surrender as stated in Para 5 of the said impugned order dated 27.03.2017, subject to costs in the sum of ₹5,000/- to State of Punjab to be deposited with the trial Court as a pre-condition of this order.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 25, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No