

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:15.5.2017

Sangeeta and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.S.N.Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners
Mr.Anil Kumar, Addl.PP, UT, Chandigarh
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are no longer under threat from respondent no.4, which statement is corroborated by learned Additional PP appearing for UT, Chandigarh, who submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded to this effect in Police Station Sector 56, Chandigarh, though respondent no.4 is not found to be residing at the address given in the memo of parties, that address stated to be a vacant plot.

Be that as it may, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected within the four corners of law. However, this order will not be treated to be any bar on the proceedings to be initiated under the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, as petitioner no.2 was

admittedly below the age of 21 years at the time of marriage.

15.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No