

1. **Criminal Misc. No. M- 13668 of 2017 (O&M)**

Date of decision : September 13, 2017

Lovejeet Singh @ Love @ Nav @ Lovepreet SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

2. **Criminal Misc. No. M- 13891 of 2017 (O&M)**

Harinder Singh @ KaluPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

3. **Criminal Misc. No. M- 29873 of 2017 (O&M)**

Prabhjot Singh @ PrincePetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner. (in CRM-M-13668-2017)

Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-13891-2017)

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for the petitioner. (in CRM-M-29873 -2017)

Mr. Devinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL, J.

This order shall dispose of three petitions i.e. Criminal Misc.

Nos. M- 13668, 13891 and 29873 of 2017. The facts of the case are

extracted from CRM-M-13668-2017 for the sake of convenience.

Contentions on behalf of the petitioners – Lovejeet Singh @ Love and Harinder Singh @ Kalu, noted by this Court on 18.05.2017 while affording the interim relief to them read as under:-

“ It is contended that the petitioners were found innocent during investigation. They have been summoned as additional accused on an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. Bare perusal of the FIR itself reflects the falsity of this case. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that in case the accused persons were harassing or teasing the victim, there was no occasion for the victim to have proceeded to the house of co-accused – Akash. No video recording as alleged to have been prepared has been recovered. Furthermore, it is highly improbable that the alleged victim, a married lady aged about twenty five years, did not raise any objection since 12.12.2014 till the registration of the FIR on 10.03.2015.”

It was affirmed by learned counsel for the State on the said date that no video recording was recovered in this case.

Interim relief was afforded to the petitioner – Prabhjot Singh @ Prince in the same terms on 18.08.2017. It is submitted that the petitioners have appeared before the learned trial Court pursuant to interim order dated 18.05.2017 (in CRM-M-13668 & 13891 of 2017) and order dated 18.08.2017 (in CRM-M-29873-2017). All the petitioners undertake to appear as and when required and not to misuse the concession of anticipatory bail if granted to them. It is, thus, prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Bhupinder Singh, verifies that the petitioners have appeared before the learned trial Court pursuant to interim orders passed by this Court. None of

the petitioners are reported to be involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow these petitions. Consequently, interim bail afforded to the petitioners by the learned trial Court pursuant to order dated 18.05.2017 (in CRM-M-13668 & 13891 of 2017) and order dated 18.08.2017 (in CRM-M-29873-2017) passed by this Court is made absolute subject to their furnishing fresh bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

September 13, 2017
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No