

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 13632 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.05.2017

Lakhvir Singh @ Lucky @ Lalit

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jupinder Pal Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.83 dated 08.10.2016, under Sections 420/34 I.P.C., registered at Police Station S.G.N. Dev TRML Plant Bathinda, District Bathinda.

The allegations are that on 13.09.2016, an amount of Rs. 12 Lacs was given to Lakhvir Singh @ Lucky @ Lalit, Charanjit Singh @ Sukha @ Kalu and Gurdeep Singh in front of one Harjot Singh. The remaining amount of Rs. 6 Lacs was paid to the accused Lakhvir Singh @ Lucky @ Lalit, Rakesh Kumar and Charanjit Singh @ Sukha @ Kalu and Gurdeep Singh

on 22.09.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the role of Harjot Singh also appeared in FIR No. 284 dated 06.10.2016, which was registered at the instance of one Maninder Kaur, under Sections 420/406/34/120-B I.P.C, wherein Harjot Singh was cited as one of the witnesses. The aforesaid Maninder Kaur is none else, but the sister of Harjot Singh.

Co-accused Charanjit Singh @ Sukha @ Kalu has been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 05.04.2017 passed in CRM-M No. 5859 of 2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the role of the petitioner is at par with co-accused Charanjit Singh @ Sukha @ Kalu, who has been granted bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Malkit Singh, could not distinguish the role as ascribed to the petitioner, viz-a-viz, co-accused-Charanjit Singh @ Sukha @ Kalu, who has since been granted bail by this Court.

At this stage, without advertng to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

May 03, 2017.

Apurva

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No