

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-13587 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2017

Amrik Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 86 dated 16.3.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 81 of Registration Act, 1908, at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala.

It is contended that as per FIR, the complainant was impersonated by co-accused Talwinder Kaur who was allegedly projected as owner of the land by the petitioners. Brothers of the complainant, namely, Mam Chand, Mann Singh, Bant Ram, Amar Nath, Dharampal and Hari Chand were also present at the time of execution of sale deed. Out of them, Mam Chand and Mann Singh have since died. One of the brothers, namely, Amar Nath has already been enlarged on bail. Petitioner No.1 is in custody since 24.02.2017 and petitioner No.2 is in custody

since 08.02.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant oppose the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that six brothers of the complainant Amarjit Kaur @ Amro were also present at the time of execution of sale deed and that petitioner No.1 is only an attesting witness; the investigation stands concluded; the challan has been presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No