

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13553 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2017

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.129 dated 24.05.2016, registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, Police District Tehsil Batala, District Gurdaspur.

It is contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The deceased used to remain upset on account of the long absence of the petitioner from home, which the petitioner being a truck driver was unavoidable. There was no allegation of demand of dowry or harassment prior to the alleged incident. The marriage was 12 year old. Even no suicide note was recovered from

the spot. The petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2016. The conclusion of trial will take a long time.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

There are specific allegations that petitioner raised a demand of Rs. 2 lakh from the parent of the deceased which led the deceased to commit suicide. Keeping in view the gravity of offence, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2016, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

21.08.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No