

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -13527 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2017

Fajru and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana
assisted by ASI Ravinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner No.1 has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.28 dated 17.02.2017, registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Rozka Meo, District Mewat.

On 24.04.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“At the outset, learned counsel seeks to withdraw the present petition qua petitioner No.2, namely, Jamila.

Dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.2.

Learned counsel contends that no specific injury has been attributed to petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion for 31.05.2017 qua petitioner No.1.

Petitioner No.1 is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 24.04.2017, the petitioner No.1 Fajru has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner No.1 Fajru has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.04.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

31.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No