

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-13517 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 16.05.2017**

Gurwinder Singh @ Ginda

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. S. S. Dinarpur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. AG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner never having been apprehended at the spot and not even named in the FIR, he has been implicated on the basis of an information stated to have been received through a special informer. He further submits that co-accused of the petitioner have already been admitted to bail by this court.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner's name was disclosed by one of the co-accused, Keshav Singla, who, it is contended, had purchased the liquor stolen by the petitioner and his co-accused.

The said Keshav Singla and another co-accused, Amritpal Singh, have already been admitted to bail by this court.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts but without making any comment whatsoever, on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner and his co-accused, which would be gone into by the trial Court, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of that Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

MAY 16, 2017
shalini/anjali