

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-13504 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:26.4.2017

Karnail Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.R.K.Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though yesterday another petition seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners therein, co-accused of the present petitioner, i.e. CRM No.M-7885 of 2017, has already been dismissed, learned counsel for the petitioner today submits that out of the Rs.67,00,000/- loan given to various firms in Village Kalawar, about Rs.27,00,000/- has been returned. Though learned State counsel also refutes the amount of money returned, however, at this stage that would make no difference, in view of the fact that none of the firms to which loans are stated to have been given by the petitioner are actually found to have been in existence, by the police.

Learned State counsel submits that the name of the present petitioners' son also figures as a Proprietor of one of the firms to which a loan has been given, which firm actually, as per investigation carried out so far, does not exist.

Thus for the same reasons as have been given in CRM No.M-7885 of 2017, this petition is also dismissed. The interim order dated 21.4.2017 is vacated.

However, nothing stated herein above would be taken to be any comment on the merits of the case, which would be subject matter of further investigation and the trial if it comes to that stage.

26.4.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No