

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

CRM-M No.1350 of 2017

Date of decision: 24.03.2017

Harinderjit Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harkirat Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.148 dated 12.11.2016, registered under Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that there is a cross-case lodged by the petitioner and therefore, he has been falsely implicated in the present a case; he has already been in custody for about 05 months; on completion of investigation, report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been filed before the competent court and that the matter between the complainant and the petitioner has been settled.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner has inflicted a gun shot injury. However, learned counsel

for the complainant submits that he has no objection if the petitioner is granted bail.

After considering the above submissions, I am of the opinion that the petitioner deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any criminal act or misusing the concession of bail granted by this Court, it would be open to the State to seek cancellation of bail granted through this order by filing an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 24, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No