

251+109.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13489-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:04.09.2017

RAVINDER SINGH @ NARINDER SINGH ... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Nimanyu Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.224 dated 25.09.2011 under Sections 279, 337, 379, 324, 320, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 24.07.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 23.08.2017 to the effect that the compromise effected between the parties appears to be genuine one, with free will and without any pressure or coercion.

Though today none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Amrik Singh, but no prejudice would be caused to him as he has already made his statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 14.08.2017. The same is reproduced as under:-

“Stated that above said FIR was registered on the basis of my statement against Ravinder Singh @ Narinder Singh. I have received injuries in this incident. Now I have entered into compromise with the petitioner without any duress, coercion or any other pressure, to maintain the peace and harmony in the locality. This compromise has been arrived at with the intervention of respectables. I have no objection, if the said FIR is quashed.”

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and**

dated 25.09.2011 under Sections 279, 337, 379, 324, 320, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

CRM-20364-2017

Since the present petition has been allowed, present application has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.09.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No