

290

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: February 23, 2017
CRM-M-14393 of 2016

Tek Chand Sharma @ TikaramPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CRM-M-9181 of 2016

Tek Chand Sharma @ TikaramPetitioner

Versus

Ram Kumar @ Kalu and othersRespondents

CRM-M-12560 of 2016

Tek Chand Sharma @ TikaramPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CRM-M-12561 of 2016

Tek Chand Sharma @ TikaramPetitioner

Versus

Ram Kumar @ Kallu and othersRespondents

CRM-M-12562 of 2016

Tek Chand Sharma @ TikaramPetitioner

Versus

Kalu @ Ram Kumar and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Petitioner in person.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate and
Ms. Manju Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-14393 of 2016),
for respondents No.1 to 9 (in CRM-M-9181 of 2016),
for respondents No.2 to 11 (in CRM-M-12560 of 2016),

for private respondents (in CRM-M-12561 of 2016) and
for private respondents (in CRM-M-12562 of 2016).

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

These are the petitions for transferring of various cases as mentioned in the petitions from District Palwal to any other district in the State of Haryana.

I have perused the reasons given in the petitions. The reasons are that the petitioner is sensing threats etc. for attending the Court and therefore, he is seeking transfer.

Learned State counsel has pointed out the judgment and order dated 28.04.2016 passed in CWP No.20474 of 2014 by this Court granting police protection etc. to the petitioner. I quote the operative para of the judgement which read thus:-

“Be that as it may. Insofar as the present petition is concerned, it is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Haryana to look into the grievance of the petitioner and provide him adequate police protection as and when he intends to visit his land. It is made clear that since the petitioner is facing the ire of the ladies of the family also, therefore, the authority shall provide lady constable also. The needful shall be done as and when petitioner applies to the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad for the purpose of seeking protection. With these directions, the present petition, i.e. CWP No.20474 of 2014 is hereby disposed of and the second petition i.e. CWP No.16895 of 2015 is dismissed as not pressed.”

In view of the above, it is not possible to entertain the present petitions since there is no reason exist for transferring the cases as asked for in these petitions.

Dismissed.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 23, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No