

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-13442 of 2017

Date of Decision: 04.07.2017

Mindo @ Mahinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Sudhir Paruthi, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)**

When the matter came up for hearing on April 21, 2017 the  
Court passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant has conveniently concealed the factum of tenancy in favour of his uncle Kuldeep Kumar in the premises of the petitioner. The cheques allegedly issued by the complainant were never credited in the account of the petitioner, nor any cash amount was received by the petitioner at any point of time. Co-accused namely Satpal and Anju have already been granted regular bail by the trial Court.*

*Notice of motion for 04.07.2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 27.04.2017 and in the event of her arrest, she shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Today, Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and informs

the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and no recoveries

are to be made.

Accordingly, the interim bail is made absolute subject to the conditions in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

04.07.2017  
manju

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |