

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13436-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.11.2017

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate,
for Mr. Naveen Batria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gulnoor Ghuman, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Dilbagh Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.77 dated 02.08.2016, registered under Sections 498-A, 495 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Nurpurbedi, District Roopnagar.

Heard.

On 13.09.2017, the following order was passed:-

“Vide order dated 18.5.2017, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation of this Court. However, as per the report (Annexure A), mediation has failed.

Adjourned to 6.11.2017

Petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meantime, in the even of his arrest, the petitioner shall be enlarged on interim anticipatory bail by the Arresting Officer subject to the following conditions:-

1) that he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2) that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and ;

3) that he shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.”

Learned counsel states that the matter stands compromised between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, recovery of the entire dowry articles has been effected and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.09.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

06.11.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No