

140

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13387 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.04.2017

ANITA

.....Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with one Pawan Kumar son of Ramnath on 16.11.2015 accoring to Hindu rites and ceremonies. Thereafter husband of the petitioner was arrested in some criminal case and now he is in judicial custody. After arrest of her husband, petitioner went to her parental house. The parents of the petitioner wanted to marry her somewhere else against her wishes. The factum of marriage of the petitioner with Pawan Kumar was not accepted by the parents of the petitioner. Faced with the situation, the petitioner left the parental house on 27.03.2017 and now she is living with her parents-in-law at Mathura. Brother of the petitioner has lodged a criminal case in respect of some unknown persons and the private respondents are harassing the petitioner and her in-laws in pursuance thereof.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 in respect of protection of her life and

personal liberty from being invaded by private respondents.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner has also moved a representation before respondent No.2 on 11.04.2017 and the said representation is pending consideration before him.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to take into consideration the pending representation moved by the petitioner on 11.04.2017 and take appropriate action in accordance with law, without being swayed away by any consideration in terms of pleadings or anything recorded in preceding part of the order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 20, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No