

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.13386 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 20.04.2017

SAROOP DASS

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner alleges violation of his civil rights despite grant of civil court decree by the competent Court in his favour. The allegations have been made against the private respondents in view of changed political scenario.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to take notice of pending representation dated 15.04.2017 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Additional A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this

Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner in context of his representation dated 15.04.2017. If some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the concerned SHO can be obligated to act in accordance with law and if no such offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

20.04.2017  
jyoti Y.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No