

138

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13365 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.04.2017

RAJ KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner alleges evil design of respondent Nos.4 and 5 in the context of his witnessing the contents of suicide note made by the deceased Surjit Singh, who committed suicide at R.G. International Hotel at Banga, District Nawan Shahr.

The reasons for committing suicide were recorded by the deceased in his diary which was handed over to the petitioner and the same was deposited by the petitioner with the Police. In the said diary, complicity of respondent No.4 in the context of suicide committed by Surjit Singh was disclosed. Respondent No.4 is none else, but the son-in-law of the deceased Surjit Singh.

The Police cited the petitioner as witness in the inquiry before registration of the case. Petitioner has alleged that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are instrumental in giving repeated threats to him for not to depose against them during pending inquiry before the Police.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner has already moved a representation dated 28.03.2017 to respondent No.2, however no action has been taken so far in respect of life and liberty of the petitioner likely to be invaded by respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage.

At this juncture, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of by obligating respondent No.2 to take into consideration the pending representation moved by the petitioner before him and to pass appropriate order in accordance with law, without being swayed away by any consideration of pleadings or otherwise of the case in an impartial manner. It would be appreciated, if decision is made as early as possible.

April 20, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No