

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-13340 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 26.04.2017.

Gurjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. R.K. Samyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439
the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.317 dated 22.09.2016
registered under Section 379-B IPC at Police Station Triprhi, District
Patiala

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
the petitioner has been shown to be driving the motor cycle whereas,
the pillion allegedly has been projected to have snatched away the purse
of the complainant. As per the FIR, the purse contained along with
other articles one cellphone make Micromax whereas, in the challan,
mobile phone has been shown to be of the Samsung company. He
further states that no recovery was effected from the petitioner or co-
accused. The petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits
that the petitioner is habitual offender and is involved in two more
FIRs.

Heard.

Considering the fact that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner and challan stands already presented, the petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2016, out of 12 prosecution witnesses, 7 have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely concluded that the conclusion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No