

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-13338 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 24.05.2017.

Satish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.47 dated
26.02.2017, registered under Sections 379 and 120-B IPC at Police
Station Bawal, District Rewari.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in the present FIR. The petitioner has been implicated on the
basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Bijender Singh. Said
Bijender Singh has already been granted regular bail by the trial Court
vide order dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-1).

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes
the bail application.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is unknown to the petitioner. In fact, the cheque in question was stolen by the petitioner. The amount in question has not been recovered so far.

Heard.

During the course of investigation, it transpired that the cheque in question was stolen by the petitioner. Thereafter, it was handed over by the petitioner to co-accused Bijender Singh and ultimately an amount of Rs.1,81,500/- was got transferred from the account of the complainant. The amount is yet to be recovered. Therefore, this Court feels that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth. Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No